IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, ANDHRA PRADESH
AT HYDERABAD

(*Special Original Jurisdiction*)

–

–

MONDAY, THE TWENTY SEVENTH DAY OF FEBRUARY

TWO THOUSAND AND SIX

P R E S E N T

THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE V.ESWARAIAH

* * *

–

WP.No.3625 OF 2006

Between**:**

P.Ashok Kumar … Petitioner

Versus

Hyderabad Urban Development Authority,

Represented by its Secretary,

1-8-323, Rassolpura, Paigah Palace,

Police Lines,

Secunderabad. … Respondent

Counsel for the Petitioner: Sri T.Surya Satish

Counsel for the Respondent: Sri T.Niranjan Reddy,
SC for HUDA

O R D E R :

It is the case of the petitioner that he was the highest bidder and contractor in respect of parking contract at Maitrivanam Commercial Complex, Hyderabad for the year 2003-04 i.e., for the period from 01-2-2005 to 31-1-2006 on a monthly licence amount of Rs.59,000-00 and for the next year 2005-06 the petitioner is the contractor for the said parking place on a monthly licence amount of Rs.60,700-00 and the said lease period has been expired on 31-1-2006.

It is stated that as the respondent have considered the representations of certain parking contractors for a period of one more year in favour of the existing contractor at the Head Office and also the request of the other electrical, civil, sanitary and security contractors of same complex was considered and extended. But the representations of the petitioner dated 14-12-2005 and 28-12-2005 have not been considered and disposed of by the respondent.

It is stated that without considering the said representations of the petitioner, the respondent issued notification for issuance of the licence for running the vehicle parking stand by way of auction/tender notice in respect of Maitrivanam Commercial Complex situate at Ameerpet calling for the applications on or before 02-3-2006.

Having regard to the facts and circumstances, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the writ petition, if the applications of the similarly situated persons are considered, I consider it appropriate that the application of the petitioner dated 14-12-2005 followed by another application dated 28-12-2005 shall be considered and disposed of in accordance with law within one week from the date of receipt of this order.

With the above direction, the writ petition is disposed of at the admission stage. No costs.

FEBRUARY 27th, 2005. ----------

I s L. V. ESWARAIAH, J.

* **NB**:

Operative portion of the order

by wire.

B/o. IsL.